

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/712,680	Applicant(s) PATEL ET AL.	
	Examiner Maureen M. Wallenhorst	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

 (2) Carol LaSalle (Appl. Rep).
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 August 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
none
Claims discussed:
15-17, 20-22
Prior art documents discussed:
none
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Minor changes in the claims were agreed upon in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)